

Kansas State University



About This Report

About Your Multi-Year Report

For institutions participating in multiple NSSE administrations since 2013, the year of the last survey update, this report presents year-to-year results for Engagement Indicators (EIs), High-Impact Practices (HIPs), and key academic challenge items to illustrate patterns of change or stability. It also provides details such as number of respondents, standard deviation, and standard error so that statistical tests can be calculated.

For more information and recommendations for analyzing NSSE data over time, view our webinar entitled *Recommendations for Using Multiple Years of NSSE Data:* nsse.indiana.edu/links/webinar

This report contains three main parts: (a) a page that provides a quick reference to important information about each year's administration, (b) multi-year figures, and (c) detailed statistics. Key terms and features are illustrated below.

Report sections

Administration Summaries (p. 3)	A summary of respondent counts, response rates, sampling errors, and administration details for each participation year.
Engagement Results by Theme (pp. 4-7)	Results for ten EIs and selected individual survey items are displayed, organized under four broad themes. The Academic Challenge theme is represented by four EIs as well as several individual items. The three remaining engagement themes (Learning with Peers, Experiences with Faculty, and Campus Environment) are each represented by two EIs.
High-Impact Practices (pp. 8-9)	Results for six HIPs are displayed. First-year student results indicate students who participated in service-learning, a learning community, and research with faculty, and who <i>planned to do</i> an internship or field experience, study abroad, and a culminating senior experience. Senior results indicate students who participated in all six.
Detailed Statistics (pp. 10-13)	Displays detailed information for results including counts, standard errors, and confidence intervals (CIs) for each measure.

Interpreting year-to-year results

When examining year-to-year results, you may wonder whether observed differences signify meaningful change and whether a trend is indicated. Figures display CIs around each score showing the range of values that is estimated to contain the population score 95% of the time. Upper and lower CI bounds are also reported in the Detailed Statistics section.

For further investigation

The Report Builder, updated with current data in the fall, allows for multi-year analysis of Engagement Indicators and individual items (including HIPs). It also affords the analysis of results by subpopulation.



Administration Summaries Kansas State University

The precision of an institution's population estimates can vary between administrations. An important early step in conducting a multi-year analysis is to review data quality. The values in the tables below were drawn from your *Administration Summary* reports.

Response Details by Participation Year

Administration Details by Deutliberties Va

Census

2018

2019

2020

Email

		Fi	rst-year studen	nts		Seniors									
Year	Response rate ^a	Sampling error ^b	Total respondents ^c	Full completions	Partial completions	Response rate ^a	Sampling error ^b	Total respondents ^c	Full completions	Partial completions					
2013	25%	+/- 2.5%	1,172	943	229	43%	+/- 1.7%	2,001	1,674	327					
2014															
2015															
2016	31%	+/- 2.5%	1,055	833	222	29%	+/- 2.2%	1,415	1,109	306					
2017															
2018															
2019	22%	+/- 3.3%	691	569	122	24%	+/- 2.6%	1,074	917	157					
2020															

Admini	istration Detail	s by Participation	Year				
	Recruitment		Incentives		Report Sample		
Year	method	Sample type	offered	Additional question sets	identified ^d	BCSSE	FSSE
2013	Email	Census	Yes	Academic Advising, Civic Engagement	No	No	No
2014							
2015							
2016	Email	Census	Yes	Global Learning, FY Experiences / Sr Transitions	No	No	No
2017							

Note: All of your institution's participation years since 2013 (the first year of the updated NSSE) are reported. Years in which your institution did not participate are blank.

a. Response rates (number of respondents divided by sample size) are adjusted for ineligibility, nondeliverable addresses, and students who were unavailable during the survey administration.

FY Experiences / Sr Transitions, Inclusiv & Cult Div

- b. Sampling error gauges the precision of results based on a sample survey. It is an estimate (at the 95% confidence level) of how much survey item percentages for your respondents could differ from those of the entire population of students at your institution. While data with larger sampling errors (such as +/-10%) need not be dismissed out of hand, such results should be interpreted more conservatively.
- c. Count used to calculate response rates and sampling errors for each Administration Summary report. Includes all census-administered and randomly sampled students, regardless of "Report Sample" designation.
- d. Starting in 2017, institutions had the option to flag a subset of students for exclusion from reports. Refer to your Administration Summary reports.


Yes

No



Engagement Results by Theme Kansas State University

Engagement Indicators (EIs) represent the average student responses to a set of related survey questions. The Academic Challenge theme contains four EIs as well as several important individual items. See pages 10-12 for detailed statistics. For more information, including the items that make up each EI, refer to your *Engagement Indicators* report.



a. Values for Course Reading and Assigned Writing are estimates calculated from two or more survey questions. The Course Reading item changed in 2014; comparability between 2013 and later years is limited.

b. Extent to which courses challenged students to do their best work (1 = "Not at all" to 7 = "Very much").

c. How much students said the institution emphasizes spending significant time studying and on academic work (1 = "Very little," 2 = "Some," 3 = "Quite a bit," and 4 = "Very much").




Academic Challenge: Seniors

NSSE 2019 Multi-Year Report

Engagement Results by Theme Kansas State University

Engagement Indicators (EIs) represent the average student responses to a set of related survey questions. The Academic Challenge theme contains four EIs as well as several important individual items. See pages 10-12 for detailed statistics. For more information, including the items that make up each EI, refer to your *Engagement Indicators* report.



a. Values for Course Reading and Assigned Writing are estimates calculated from two or more survey questions. The Course Reading item changed in 2014; comparability between 2013 and later years is limited.


b. Extent to which courses challenged students to do their best work (1 = "Not at all" to 7 = "Very much").


c. How much students said the institution emphasizes spending significant time studying and on academic work (1 = "Very little," 2 = "Some," 3 = "Quite a bit," and 4 = "Very much").



Engagement Results by Theme Kansas State University

Engagement Indicators (EIs) represent the average student responses to a set of related survey questions. Each theme below is represented by two EIs. See pages 10-12 for detailed statistics. For more information, including the items that make up each EI, refer to your *Engagement Indicators* report.







Engagement Results by Theme Kansas State University

Engagement Indicators (EIs) represent the average student responses to a set of related survey questions. Each theme below is represented by two EIs. See pages 10-12 for detailed statistics. For more information, including the items that make up each EI, refer to your Engagement Indicators report.




37.6



High-Impact Practices Kansas State University

Due to their positive associations with student learning and retention, special undergraduate opportunities are designated "high-impact." The figures below display first-year students' participation, or intent to participate, in High-Impact Practices (HIPs) by year. See page 13 for detailed statistics. For more information, refer to your *High-Impact Practices* report.





High-Impact Practices

Kansas State University

Due to their positive associations with student learning and retention, special undergraduate opportunities are designated "high-impact." Participation in High-Impact Practices (HIPs) by year is displayed in the figures below. See page 13 for detailed statistics. For more information, refer to your *High-Impact Practices* report.





Detailed Statistics: Engagement Indicators and Additional Items

Kansas State University

				Firs	st-year s	tudents	6						Senic	ors			
		2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020
Academic Challenge																	
Higher-Order Learning	Mean	38.0			38.0			37.0		39.5			38.4			36.8	
0	n	1,059			964			616		1,861			1,289			977	
	SD	13.0			12.7			11.7		13.4			13.3			12.6	
	SE	.40			.41			.47		.31			.37			.40	
	CI upper bound	38.8			38.8			37.9		40.1			39.1			37.6	
	CI lower bound	37.2			37.2			36.0		38.8			37.7			36.0	
Reflective & Integrative	Mean	34.8			34.9			35.5		36.7			37.0			34.8	
Learning	n	1,091			991			648		1,909			1,336			1,027	
Learning	SD	11.8			12.2			11.2		12.5			12.4			11.6	
	SE	.36			.39			.44		.29			.34			.36	
	CI upper bound	35.5			35.7			36.3		37.3			37.6			35.5	
	CI lower bound	34.1			34.2			34.6		36.2			36.3			34.0	
Learning Strategies	Mean	38.1			37.2			36.9		37.5			35.9			34.0	
	n	1,004			888			607		1,760			1,197			948	
	SD	13.6			13.5			12.8		14.6			14.0			14.3	
	SE	.43			.45			.52		.35			.41			.46	
	CI upper bound	38.9			38.0			37.9		38.1			36.7			34.9	
	CI lower bound	37.3			36.3			35.8		36.8			35.1			33.1	
Quantitative Reasoning	Mean	28.9			28.9			28.3		31.5			31.4			30.2	
4	n	1,078			977			611		1,886			1,304			961	
	SD	16.0			16.2			14.0		16.5			15.6			15.2	
	SE	.49			.52			.57		.38			.43			.49	
	CI upper bound	29.9			29.9			29.5		32.3			32.2			31.1	
	CI lower bound	28.0			27.9			27.2		30.8			30.5			29.2	
Academic Challenge (additi	ional items)																
Preparing for Class	Mean	14.3			14.9			14.3		14.8			15.4			14.7	
(hours/week)	n	958			840			594		1,669			1,132			936	
(modis) week)	SD	7.6			7.7			7.9		8.7			8.7			8.7	
	SE	.25			.27			.32		.21			.26			.28	
	CI upper bound	14.7			15.4			14.9		15.2			15.9			15.2	
	CI lower bound	13.8			14.4			13.7		14.4			14.8			14.1	
Course Reading	Mean	5.9			6.0			5.4		5.9			6.3			5.4	
Estimated hours per week	n	958			835			591		1,670			1,120			932	
calculated from two survey	SD	4.5			5.4			4.7		5.3			5.9			5.3	
questions. Item wording changed in	SE	.15			.19			.19		.13			.18			.17	
2014; comparability between 2013	CI upper bound	6.2			6.4			5.8		6.2			6.6			5.8	
and later years is limited.	CI lower bound	5.6			5.6			5.1		5.7			5.9			5.1	

Notes: n = Number of respondents; SD = Standard deviation; SE = $\overline{\text{Standard error of the mean; upper and lower bounds represent the 95% confidence interval (mean +/- 1.96 * SE)}$.



Detailed Statistics: Engagement Indicators and Additional Items

Kansas State University

				Firs	t-year s	tudents	6						Senio	rs			
		2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	202
Academic Challenge (addit	ional items, co	ntinued)															
Assigned Writing	Mean	36.3			38.6			31.6		61.5			62.7			60.9	
Estimated number of pages	n	945			847			609		1,634			1,149			954	
calculated from three survey	SD	58.5			67.2			48.9		77.2			80.9			76.6	
questions.	SE	1.90			2.31			1.98		1.91			2.39			2.48	
	CI upper bound	40.1			43.1			35.5		65.3			67.3			65.7	
	CI lower bound	32.6			34.1			27.7		57.8			58.0			56.0	
Course Challenge	Mean	5.6			5.5			5.3		5.5			5.5			5.3	
Extent to which courses challenged	n	1,022			899			608		1,782			1,216			948	
students to do their best work (1 =	SD	1.1			1.1			1.2		1.1			1.1			1.2	
"Not at all" to 7 = "Very much").	SE	.03			.04			.05		.03			.03			.04	
	CI upper bound	5.6			5.6			5.4		5.6			5.6			5.4	
	CI lower bound	5.5			5.5			5.3		5.5			5.5			5.3	
Academic Emphasis	Mean	3.2			3.2			3.1		3.2			3.2			3.1	
Perceived institutional emphasis on	n	952			847			600		1,672			1,152			946	
spending significant time studying	SD	0.7			0.7			0.7		0.7			0.7			0.7	
and on academic work (1 = "Very	SE	.02			.02			.03		.02			.02			.02	
little," 2 = "Some," 3 = "Quite a bit,"	CI upper bound	3.2			3.3			3.2		3.2			3.2			3.1	
and 4 = "Very much").	CI lower bound	3.2			3.2			3.0		3.1			3.1			3.1	
Learning with Peers																	
Collaborative Learning	Mean	33.9			36.1			36.3		34.9			35.0			35.0	
_	n	1,094			1,018			678		1,929			1,363			1,053	
	SD	13.7			13.5			13.2		14.1			14.4			14.1	
	SE	.41			.42			.51		.32			.39			.44	
	CI upper bound	34.7			36.9			37.3		35.6			35.7			35.9	
	CI lower bound	33.1			35.3			35.3		34.3			34.2			34.2	
Discussions with Diverse	Mean	40.3			40.0			39.7		39.2			39.4			38.9	
Others	n	1,017			896			609		1,770			1,214			951	
	SD	15.1			14.7			14.4		16.1			15.4			15.3	
	SE	.47			.49			.58		.38			.44			.50	
	CI upper bound	41.2			41.0			40.8		39.9			40.3			39.8	
	CI lower bound	39.4			39.0			38.6		38.4			38.6			37.9	

Notes: n = Number of respondents; SD = Standard deviation; SE = Standard error of the mean; upper and lower bounds represent the 95% confidence interval (mean +/- 1.96 * SE).



Detailed Statistics: Engagement Indicators and Additional Items

Kansas State University

				Firs	t-year s	students	5			Seniors								
		2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	202	
Experiences with Faculty																		
Student-Faculty	Mean	21.7			21.9			21.9		26.5			25.8			26.3		
Interaction	n	1,072			973			633		1,882			1,312			1,006		
Interaction	SD	14.4			13.9			13.5		16.0			15.5			15.2		
	SE	.44			.45			.54		.37			.43			.48		
	CI upper bound	22.6			22.7			22.9		27.3			26.6			27.2		
	CI lower bound	20.8			21.0			20.8		25.8			24.9			25.4		
Effective Teaching	Mean	40.6			38.5			37.4		40.7			38.5			37.6		
Practices	n	1,089			983			623		1,907			1,320			975		
Fractices	SD	12.5			12.2			12.2		12.8			13.0			12.5		
	SE	.38			.39			.49		.29			.36			.40		
	CI upper bound	41.3			39.3			38.3		41.2			39.2			38.3		
	CI lower bound	39.8			37.8			36.4		40.1			37.8			36.8		
Campus Environment																		
Quality of Interactions	Mean	45.2			44.6			45.0		44.7			44.6			44.6		
4,1	n	996			866			583		1,711			1,166			897		
	SD	11.2			10.9			10.7		10.9			11.0			10.4		
	SE	.35			.37			.44		.26			.32			.35		
	CI upper bound	45.9			45.3			45.8		45.2			45.2			45.3		
	CI lower bound	44.5			43.8			44.1		44.2			43.9			43.9		
Supportive Environment	Mean	40.6			39.6			39.0		36.2			35.8			33.6		
	n	943			832			598		1,658			1,134			939		
	SD	12.5			12.8			11.8		13.4			13.0			13.0		
	SE	.41			.45			.48		.33			.39			.42		
	CI upper bound	41.4			40.5			40.0		36.8			36.6			34.4		
	CI lower bound	39.8			38.8			38.1		35.5			35.1			32.8		

Notes: n = Number of respondents; SD = Standard deviation; SE = Standard error of the mean; upper and lower bounds represent the 95% confidence interval (mean +/- 1.96 * SE).



Detailed Statistics: High-Impact Practices

Kansas State University

				Firs	t-year s	tudents							Senic	ors			
		2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020
Service-Learning ^a	%	60			58			57		67			62			57	
Jerrice Zearining	n	1,009			888			600		1,767			1,204			946	
	SE	1.5			1.7			2.0		1.1			1.4			1.6	
	CI upper bound (%)	63			62			61		69			64			60	
	CI lower bound (%)	57			55			53		64			59			54	
Learning Community ^a	%	13			19			19		23			26			21	
,	n	1,011			893			607		1,774			1,215			948	
	SE	1.1			1.3			1.6		1.0			1.3			1.3	
	CI upper bound (%)	15			22			22		25			28			23	
	CI lower bound (%)	11			16			16		21			23			18	
Research with Faculty ^a	%	7			5			7		22			24			26	
nescaren min radarey	n	1,014			888			605		1,765			1,203			950	
	SE	0.8			0.7			1.1		1.0			1.2			1.4	
	CI upper bound (%)	9			6			9		24			27			29	
	CI lower bound (%)	6			3			5		20			22			24	
Internship or Field	%	75			84			80		52			55			57	
	n	1,017			901			607		1,782			1,216			948	
Experience ^b	SE	1.4			1.2			1.6		1.2			1.4			1.6	
(First-year results: Plan to do)	CI upper bound (%)	78			86			83		54			57			60	
	CI lower bound (%)	73			81			77		50			52			53	
Study Abroad ^b	%	33			40			37		14			15			14	
	n	1,015			892			607		1,769			1,209			947	
(First-year results: Plan to do)	SE	1.5			1.6			2.0		0.8			1.0			1.1	
	CI upper bound (%)	36			44			41		15			17			16	
	CI lower bound (%)	30			37			33		12			13			12	
Culminating Senior	%	48			49			45		41			41			43	
_	n	1,011			893			604		1,772			1,207			945	
Experience ^b	SE	1.6			1.7			2.0		1.2			1.4			1.6	
(First-year results: Plan to do)	CI upper bound (%)	51			52			49		43			43			46	
	CI lower bound (%)	45			46			41		38			38			40	
Overall HIP Participation	on ^c																
Participated in one HIP	%	51			49			49		26			23			23	
. a. c. c. patea one	n	1,020			899			607		1,785			1,216			953	
	SE	1.6			1.7			2.0		1.0			1.2			1.4	
	CI upper bound (%)	54			52			53		28			25			25	
	CI lower bound (%)	48			45			45		24			20			20	
Participated in two or	%	13			16			16		64			65			64	
	n	1,020			899			607		1,785			1,216			953	
more HIPs	SE	1.1			1.2			1.5		1.1			1.4			1.6	
	CI upper bound (%)	15			18			19		66			68			67	
	CI lower bound (%)	11			13			13		62			62			61	

Notes: n = Number of respondents; SE = Standard error of the proportion (sqrt[(p*(1-p))/(n-1)]) where p is the proportion; upper and lower bounds represent the 95% confidence interval (p+/-1.96*SE).

IPEDS: 155399

a. Results are the percentage who had done the activity.

b. First-year results are the percentage who planned to do the activity; Senior results are the percentage who had done the activity.

c. First-year results are limited to participation in a Learning Community, Service-Learning, and Research with Faculty; senior results include all six HIPs.