

Predictors of impulsive choice behavior Aaron Smith, Tiffany Galtress and Kimberly Kirkpatrick

Introduction

Molar maximizing leads to choices that yield the most efficient reward delivery over a longer time frame, whereas momentary maximizing leads to choices that yield greater reward in the short term, yet over time may be less efficient in maximizing reward. To assess differences in molar vs. momentary maximizing in an impulsive choice task, rats were trained to choose between a smaller-sooner (SS) reward of 1 pellet after 10 s versus a largerlater (LL) option of a 2 pellets after 30 s (Baseline Choice). A 120-s inter-trial interval (ITI) was given between subsequent choice trials. Momentary maximizing of reward would result in a preference for the SS option, which resulted in a high local rate of reinforcement. However, taking the ITI into account, molar maximizing by choosing the LL option was the most efficient strategy in terms of maximizing reward over the session. This study aimed to assess molar versus momentary maximizing and also determine whether the likelihood of exhibiting either choice strategy could be moderated by a change in motivational state (Choice with Satiety), or predicted by other behavioral tasks that measured response efficiency (Differential Reinforcement of Low Rate) and motivation to work for different magnitudes of reward (Progressive Ratio).

Method

TASK	DESCRIPTION
Baseline Choice	SS: 10 s, 1 pellet v
Differential	DRL 10 s (n = 8)
Reinforcement of	DRL 30 s (n = 8)
Low Rate	DRL 10 s and DRI
Choice with satiety	Baseline Choice \rightarrow
Progressive Ratio	PR 3, 1 pellet \rightarrow P

vs. LL: 30 s, 2 pellets

L 30 s (n = 8)

Pre-feeding Choice

PR 3, 4 pellets

Results

Figure 1. The impulsive choice task revealed a wide range of choice behavior with evidence of a preference for molar maximizing (high LL choice) and momentary maximizing (low LL choice) in individual rats (diamonds)



Figure 2. Pre-feeding prior to the session increased momentary maximizing, shown through a decrease in LL choices



Conclusion

Choice behavior was moderated by motivation levels within the choice task and predicted by performance on other related behavioral tasks: Reducing motivational state increased momentary maximizing, whereas efficient responding for reward and greater incentive motivation to work for large reward predicted molar maximizing.





PRE-FED

Figure 3. Rats that produced more efficient inter-response times (IRT) on the DRL 10-s schedule were more likely to show molar maximizing in the impulsive choice task (left panel). There was no correlation between response efficiency on the DRL 30-s schedule and choice behavior (right panel)



Figure 4. Rats that showed magnitude (measured by a the impulsive choice task





KANSAS STATE UNIVERSITY